

## Durham Research Online

---

### Deposited in DRO:

30 April 2015

### Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

### Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

### Citation for published item:

Fox, Mark A. and Greatrex, Robert and Nikrahi, Alireza (2008) 'Quenched gas-phase reactions of tetraborane(10), B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>, with substituted alkynes : new nido-dicarbapentaboranes and arachno-monocarbapentaboranes.', Dalton transactions., 2008 (5). pp. 676-684.

### Further information on publisher's website:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/B715105E>

### Publisher's copyright statement:

### Additional information:

---

### Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

# Quenched gas-phase reactions of tetraborane(10), B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>, with substituted alkynes: new *nido*-dicarbapentaboranes and *arachno*-monocarbapentaboranes‡

5 Mark A. Fox,\*<sup>a</sup> Robert Greatrex\*<sup>b</sup> and Alireza Nikrahi<sup>b</sup>

Received (in XXX, XXX) 1st January 2007, Accepted 1st January 2007

First published on the web 1st January 2007

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

New alkyl derivatives of the *nido*-dicarbapentaborane, 1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>, and *arachno*-carbapentaborane, 10 1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>, have been identified as the main volatile carbaborane products in quenched gas-phase reactions of tetraborane(10), B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>, with alkyl-substituted ethynes RC≡CR' (R = Me, Et, <sup>n</sup>Pr or <sup>t</sup>Bu, R' = H; R = Me or Et, R' = Me). The gaseous mixtures were heated at 70°C, and monitored by gas-phase mass spectrometry. Each reaction was quenched when the ethyne was used up. The quenched gas-phase reaction of B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> and Me<sub>3</sub>SiC≡CH gave a single volatile carbaborane product, 15 1-Me<sub>3</sub>Si-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>.

## Introduction

Polyhedral carbaboranes (carboranes) have long been synthesised from reactions of binary boranes with alkynes.<sup>1</sup> A widely-used synthesis involves the treatment of decaborane(14), B<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub>, with a substituted alkyne RC≡CR' in the presence of Lewis base to form the *closo*-carbaborane 1-R-2-R'-1,2(*ortho*)-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>.<sup>2</sup> A less common route, in which pentaborane(9), B<sub>5</sub>H<sub>9</sub>, is used in place of B<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub> generally affords the carbaborane *nido* 2-R-3-R'-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub>.<sup>3</sup> However, the B<sub>5</sub>H<sub>9</sub>/alkyne system can also yield different carbaborane products such as small *closo*-carbaboranes if gas-phase conditions are used.<sup>4,5</sup>

Not long after these carbaboranes were first discovered, some 40 years ago, Grimes and co-workers reported a series of complex reactions of tetraborane(10), B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>, with alkynes.<sup>6-15</sup> Many new carbaboranes were discovered from the gas-phase reactions of B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> with ethyne HC≡CH. These reactions have also been explored more recently in Leeds.<sup>16-19</sup> The reactions were of three types, and the major carbaborane product in each case is shown below:

- 1) High-energy ('Flash') reaction<sup>6,7,16,17</sup>  
100°C, 'Flash'  
40 B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> + HC≡CH → *closo*-2,4-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> (<1% yield)
- 2) Completed reaction<sup>6,8-19</sup>  
25-70°C, 3 days  
45 B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> + HC≡CH → *nido*-2,4-Me<sub>2</sub>-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (7%)
- 3) Quenched reaction<sup>10,13,19</sup>  
25-70°C, 20-60 min  
B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> + HC≡CH → *nido*-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> (25%)

50 Grimes, Bramlett and Vance<sup>7,9</sup> and the Leeds group<sup>16,17,18</sup> have also investigated the flash and completed gas-phase reactions of B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> with propyne and 2-butyne under similar reaction conditions (see reactions 4 and 5 below), and Grimes and 55 Ledoux have explored the completed gas-phase reaction of B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> with trimethylsilylethyne - no volatile carbaborane product was obtained from this latter reaction.<sup>14</sup>

- 4) High-energy ('Flash') reactions<sup>7,17</sup>  
60 B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> + MeC≡CH → *closo*-2-Me-1,5-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (<1%)  
B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> + MeC≡CMe → *closo*-2,3-Me<sub>2</sub>-1,5-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub> (<1%)
- 5) Completed reactions<sup>9,18</sup>  
B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> + MeC≡CH → *nido*-2-Me-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> (8%)  
65 B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> + MeC≡CMe → *nido*-2,3-Me<sub>2</sub>-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (10%)

It is clear from these abridged equations that the gas-phase reactions of tetraborane(10) with ethynes are complex, with evidence of triple-bond cleavage having occurred in the 70 formation of some of the products.<sup>†</sup> The methyl-substituted ethynes clearly yield carbaborane products that are quite different from those formed by the unsubstituted ethyne. Of the reactions listed, the highest yield of a volatile carbaborane product was obtained from the quenched gas-phase reactions of tetraborane(10) with ethyne, the *nido*-1,2-dicarbapentaborane, 1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>, being formed in *ca* 25% yield based on B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> consumed. By contrast, 1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>, is not observed when the gas-phase reaction of B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> and ethyne proceeds to completion.

<sup>†</sup> The abridged equations above do not include other carborane products formed and may appear misleading. For example, a series of alkyl derivatives of C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>5</sub>H<sub>7</sub> is also formed from the flash reaction of tetraborane(10) with ethyne with a total carborane yield of just above 3%.

The dicarbaborane decomposes or reacts rapidly in the presence of  $B_4H_{10}$  to give 2-MeCB<sub>5</sub>H<sub>8</sub> and solids and reacts slowly with ethyne to give C<sub>4</sub>B<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> and solids;<sup>15</sup> it is stable in the gas-phase at 50°C but decomposes in the liquid phase to form a white solid. From these observations it is concluded that 1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> is not an intermediate in the formation of the major carbaborane products observed when the reaction of  $B_4H_{10}$  and ethyne is allowed to go to completion. This *nido*-carbaborane and the closely related phosphacarbaborane<sup>20</sup> 2-<sup>i</sup>Bu-1,2-PCB<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub> are the only known heteroborane analogues of *nido*-pentaborane(9), B<sub>5</sub>H<sub>9</sub>. Remarkably, no derivatives of the *nido*-5-vertex carbaborane 1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> have been reported.

Prior to our work at Leeds, quenched gas-phase reactions of  $B_4H_{10}$  with alkynes other than ethyne had not been reported. Here we discuss the most volatile carbaborane products identified in these quenched gas-phase reactions, which include the first derivatives of the *nido*-5-vertex carbaborane. Parts of this work have been reported in a communication and in conference proceedings elsewhere.<sup>21,22</sup>

## Results and Discussion

Our earlier study<sup>19</sup> of the quenched reaction of  $B_4H_{10}$  and ethyne established that the optimum conditions for convenience and maximum carbaborane yield involved an ethyne : tetraborane(10) ratio of 2 : 1 and a reaction temperature of 70°C. An increase in the ratio led to an increase in the reaction time and to decomposition of the unstable carbaboranes, whereas a lower ratio resulted in a substantial amount of unreacted  $B_4H_{10}$ . Lowering the temperature also increased the reaction time, and raising it increased the likelihood of a flash reaction. The need to limit the pressure in the mass spectrometer imposed an upper limit of ca. 7.5 mmHg on the vapour pressure of the gaseous mixture in the 1-litre reaction vessel. In the work reported here,


the quenched reactions and subsequent cold column fractionations of the collected volatiles were therefore each repeated many times to obtain adequate amounts of material for high field NMR spectroscopy.

Hydrogen and diborane were observed in all reactions but B<sub>5</sub>H<sub>11</sub>, the major decomposition product of  $B_4H_{10}$ , was absent. Peaks corresponding to the ethenes that might have been formed as a result of hydrogenation of the ethynes during the reaction were not observed. Carbaboranes of relatively low volatility, formed from tetraborane(10) and two ethyne molecules, were identified and will be discussed in detail elsewhere together with related carbaboranes formed from reactions of tetraborane(10) with ethyne and enynes.<sup>19,23</sup> White polymeric solids were produced in all reactions, but were not investigated further.

### Quenched gas-phase reaction of $B_4H_{10}$ with propyne

The most volatile carbaborane products in the quenched reaction of MeC≡CH and  $B_4H_{10}$  were separated by cold-column fractionation, and in order of decreasing volatility were found to have mass cut-offs of *m/z* 78, 90, 92 and 93. The fraction exhibiting a cut-off at *m/z* 78 was found, by high resolution accurate mass data and detailed NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 1), to be a 1:3 mixture of the isomers, 1-Me- and 2-Me-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>9</sub> **1a** and **2a**. The accurate mass cut-off for this mixture was 78.0986 which is in very good agreement with the calculated value of 78.0983 for the formula C<sub>3</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>9</sub>.

The boron-11 NMR data for the new *nido*-dicarbapentaboranes revealed four peaks, at -11.3, -13.4, -13.5 and -19.4 ppm (Figure 1). The 2D boron-11 COSY spectrum showed cross peaks between -11.3 and -13.4 and between -13.5 and -19.4 ppm. The intensities of these pairs of peaks are in a ratio of 2:1 corresponding to B(3,5) and the unique B(4) borons respectively. In the proton-coupled spectrum, the B(3,5) peaks



Scheme 1





Figure 1. Boron-11 and proton NMR spectra of a 1:3 mixture of  $\text{MeC}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_6$  isomers **1a** and **2a**



40 that the formation of **4a** involves cleavage of the triple bond present in the propyne, whereas this bond remains intact in the formation of **1a**, **2a** and **3a**.

A minor isomer often observed in the fraction of **4a** and 45 was identified as 2,5- $\mu$ -endo-MeCH-1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_8$  **5a** on the basis of ab initio computations carried out elsewhere. The relative amounts of **4a** and **5a** varied between 5:1 and 40:1 for different samples collected from repeated reactions and fractionations. These carbaboranes were found to decompose or rearrange in 50 minutes on warming to room temperature in chloroform or toluene, as monitored by boron and proton NMR spectroscopy. The fact that compound **4a** undergoes these transformations more rapidly than **5a** may explain why variations in the **4a**:**5a** ratio are observed from sample to sample. We have not yet succeeded in 55 identifying the carbaborane products obtained by warming the unstable carbaboranes **4a** and **5a**, despite having a considerable NMR database on volatile boranes and carbaboranes. However, it





Figure 2. Boron and proton NMR spectra of 1-Me-2,5- $\mu$ - $\text{CH}_2$ -1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_7$  **4a**

has been shown elsewhere<sup>33</sup> that thermolysis of a similar




75 equivalent (Scheme 2). The proton-decoupled boron-11 NMR data for this compound at room temperature reveal three peaks in the ratio 1:1:2, which on proton coupling give a sextet and two sets of doublets of sextets (Figure 3). The sextets arise from

quenched pentaboranes. A second fraction from the quenched reaction of  $\text{MeC}\equiv\text{CH}$  and  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$ , with a mass cut-off of 90, was identified, by comparison of the NMR data with literature values, as the known<sup>28</sup> 2-Me-2,3- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_7$  **3a**. The Me-C-C-H link in propyne is retained in the formation of these *nido*-carbaboranes.

The third fraction (nominal mass cut-off  $m/z$  92) was investigated by accurate mass measurements and detailed NMR spectroscopy. It was found to have an accurate mass cut-off of 92.1309 which agrees very well with the calculated value of 92.1311 for the formula  $\text{C}_3\text{B}_4\text{H}_{12}$ . This compound was originally isolated from the hot/cold reaction of  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$  with propyne and incorrectly identified at the time as a *hypho*-tricarbaheptaborane, 30  $\text{C}_3\text{B}_4\text{H}_{12}$ .<sup>29</sup> It is, in fact, an *arachno*-monocarbaheptaborane of type  $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_{10}$  with a methyl group and a  $\text{CH}_2$  bridge, and is best represented by the formula 1-Me-2,5- $\mu$ - $\text{CH}_2$ -1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_7$  **4a**. Figure 2 shows the correct boron and proton NMR assignments for **4a**. This re-interpretation of the  $\text{C}_3\text{B}_4\text{H}_{12}$  carbaborane was supported 35 by our subsequent findings in quenched reactions of  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$  with propyne, and with 1- and 2-butyne, and by ab initio/NMR computations carried out by Hofmann and Schleyer.<sup>21</sup> Many *arachno*-monocarbaheptaboranes of type 1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_{10}$  with a bridge between B2 and B5 have since been reported.<sup>30-33</sup> It is notable

coupling with five fluxional hydrogens and the doublets are from coupling with the non-fluxional terminal hydrogens. Lowering the temperature from 297 K to 223 K leads to peak broadening in the  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum (recorded in toluene), with retention of the 1:1:2 pattern. Further lowering to 197 K gives a different peak pattern suggesting that a mixture of 2-Me- and 3-Me-1-Me-1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_8$  is present, which in theory would give 8 unique boron



All five *endo* and bridging hydrogens are scrambled rendering two equivalent BH groups observed by NMR spectroscopy in solution at RT

Scheme 2

peaks – of equal intensities assuming a 1:1 mixture (Figure 3). Proton NMR data on **6a** show two singlets of equal intensity at 1.29 and 0.49 ppm. The latter peak sharpens on boron decoupling and is assigned to a methyl group attached to boron. Compound **6a** is also formed from the quenched gas-phase reaction of  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$  and allene,  $\text{CH}_2=\text{C}=\text{CH}_2$ , and supported by *ab initio* computations.<sup>34</sup> As in the cases of **4a** and **5a**, the formation of **6a** indicates that the triple bond from the propyne is cleaved.

Mass and proton NMR spectra of the products from the quenched reaction of  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$  with the partially deuterated propyne  $\text{MeC}\equiv\text{CD}$  showed that all these volatile carbaboranes retain a C-D bond. The proton spectrum of deuterated **3a** was consistent with a 1 : 1 mixture of 2,5- $\mu$ -*exo*-DCH- and 2,5- $\mu$ -*endo*-DCH-1-Me-1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_7$ .

#### Quenched gas-phase reactions of $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$ with other alkynes

The most volatile products from the quenched reactions of  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$  with 1-butyne and 1-pentyne are analogous to those from the quenched reaction of  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$  with propyne (Scheme 1). The yields of the carbaborane products are listed in Table 1 together with the ratios of isomers for the  $\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_7$  derivatives




Figure 3. Boron NMR spectra of the fluxional 1-Me-B- $\text{CH}_3$ -1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_8$  **6a**

obtained. These latter derivatives decompose rapidly in neat liquid states and slowly in solutions on warming to ambient temperature.

The quenched reaction of  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$  with 2,2-dimethyl-3-butyne,  $^t\text{BuC}\equiv\text{CH}$ , gave the best yields of the dicarbapentaborane isomers, 1- $^t\text{Bu}$ - and 2- $^t\text{Bu}$ -1,2- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_6$ , and the bridging *arachno*-carbapentaborane, 1- $^t\text{Bu}$ -2,5- $\mu$ - $\text{CH}_2$ -1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_7$ , but the other expected carbaboranes 2- $^t\text{Bu}$ -2,3- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_7$ , 2,5- $\mu$ - $^t\text{BuCH}$ -1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_8$  and B-Me-1- $^t\text{Bu}$ -1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_8$  were either obtained in very small amounts or were completely absent. The differences in the yields of the products obtained from  $^t\text{BuC}\equiv\text{CH}$  compared to other alkyl ethynes,  $\text{RC}\equiv\text{CH}$ , (Table 1) may be attributed to the effect of the bulky tertiary butyl group. Whereas *nido*- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_4$  derivatives have been synthesised from reactions of  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9$  with various alkynes, the unknown derivative *nido*-2,3- $^t\text{Bu}_2$ -2,3- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_6$  was not obtained from  $\text{B}_5\text{H}_9$  and  $^t\text{BuC}\equiv\text{C}^t\text{Bu}$ .<sup>35</sup> A minor volatile product, identified as the known<sup>36</sup> basket compound 2,4-( $\mu$ - $^t\text{BuCHCH}_2$ ) $\text{B}_4\text{H}_8$ , is probably formed from 2,2-dimethyl-3-butyne and  $\text{B}_4\text{H}_{10}$  present during the reaction. The bridged carbaborane 1- $^t\text{Bu}$ -2,5- $\mu$ - $\text{CH}_2$ -1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_7$  remained unchanged at room temperature in solution, as indicated by NMR spectroscopy, suggesting that this species is more stable than the other 1-R-2,5- $\mu$ - $\text{CH}_2$ -1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_7$  compounds discussed here – an observation that is consistent with the higher

**Table 1.** Yields of volatile carbaboranes in percentage of total volatile carbaborane fraction and actual yields in brackets.

	1-R-1,2- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_6$ ; 2-R-1,2- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_6$ Isomer Ratio (1:2)	1,2- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_7$ type (1,2,7,8)	2,3- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_8$ type (3,9)	2,5- $\mu$ - $\text{CH}_2$ -1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_8$ type (4,5,10,11,12)	1- $\text{CB}_4\text{H}_{10}$ type (6,13)
$\text{MeC}\equiv\text{CH}$	25 : 75	52 [7%]	11 [1.5%]	15 [2%]	7 [1%]
$\text{EtC}\equiv\text{CH}$	35 : 65	56 [8%]	11 [1.5%]	10 [1.5%]	12 [1.5%]
$^n\text{PrC}\equiv\text{CH}$	40 : 60	56 [8%]	14 [2%]	3 [0.5%]	14 [2%]
$^t\text{BuC}\equiv\text{CH}$	45 : 55	59 [12%]	0.5 [0.1%]	35 [7%]	0.5 [0.1%]
$\text{MeC}\equiv\text{CMe}$	-	39 [6%]	20 [3%]	26 [4%]	7 [1%]
$\text{EtC}\equiv\text{CMe}$	65 : 35 <sup>a</sup>	45 [7%]	19 [3%]	26 [4%]	6 [1%]
$\text{Me}_3\text{SiC}\equiv\text{CH}$	100 : 0	100 [12%]	-	-	-
$\text{HC}\equiv\text{CH}^b$	-	90 <sup>c</sup> [25%]	0.5 <sup>d</sup> [0.1%]	-	-
$\text{H}_2\text{C}=\text{C}=\text{CH}_2^e$	-	-	-	89 <sup>f</sup> [11%]	7 <sup>g</sup> [1%]

<sup>a</sup>For 1-Et-2-Me-1,2- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$  (**7b**): 1-Me-2-Et-1,2- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$  (**8b**), <sup>b</sup>Reference 19 <sup>c</sup>1,2- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_3\text{H}_7$  <sup>d</sup>2,3- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_4\text{H}_8$  <sup>e</sup>Reference 34 <sup>f</sup>**4a,5a** <sup>g</sup>**6a**

yield obtained for the butyl derivative.

Products from the quenched reactions of  $B_4H_{10}$  with 2-butyne and 2-pentyne are depicted in Scheme 3, where two isomers of the bridged *arachno*-carbapentaboranes are identified. In the products from 2-butyne, the methyl group at the bridge exists in both *exo*- and *endo*- forms. The *exo*-isomer 1-Me-2,5- $\mu$ -*exo*-MeCH-1-CB $_4$ H $_7$  **11a** is the minor isomer and is the only *exo*-isomer of the 1-R-2,5- $\mu$ -R'CH-1-CB $_4$ H $_7$  type that is positively identified here and supported by computations reported elsewhere.<sup>21</sup> In the products from 2-pentyne, the methyl (**10b**) or ethyl (**12b**) group at the bridge exists in the *endo* position, with the former as the major isomer.

The quenched gas-phase reaction of tetraborane(10) with Me $_3$ SiC $\equiv$ CH gave only one volatile carbaborane product, characterised by a mass cut-off at m/z 136 and a dominant peak at m/z 73 (Me $_3$ Si $^+$ ). This product was identified by NMR spectroscopy as 1-Me $_3$ Si-1,2-C $_2$ B $_3$ H $_6$  for which the B(4) peak value differs little from that of the parent carbaborane, 1,2-C $_2$ B $_3$ H $_7$ ; thus no 'trans' effect<sup>27</sup> is observed. The other possible isomer, 2-Me $_3$ Si-1,2-C $_2$ B $_3$ H $_6$ , was not present in the fractions collected.

## Discussion of Results


Table 1 lists products obtained from the quenched gas-phase reactions carried out in this study, together with their yields. The quenched gas-phase reactions of  $B_4H_{10}$  with ethyne<sup>19</sup> and with allene<sup>34</sup> are included for comparison. Whereas ethyne gives the *nido*-carbapentaborane C $_2$ B $_3$ H $_7$  as the major product and *nido*-dicarbahexaborane C $_2$ B $_4$ H $_8$  as a minor product on reaction with  $B_4H_{10}$ , allene affords only bridged and fluxional *arachno*-carbapentaboranes as volatile carbaboranes. The reactions of  $B_4H_{10}$  with alkyl ethynes on the other hand result in all four carbaboranes as major volatile carbaborane products.

From gas-phase kinetic studies at 70°C, it is known that tetraborane(10) dissociates initially to the non-isolable

intermediate {B $_4$ H $_8$ } and H $_2$ .<sup>37,38</sup> With ethenes,  $B_4H_{10}$  gives high yields of 'basket' compounds 2,4- $\mu$ -(RCHCHR')B $_4$ H $_8$ , via the subsequent reaction of {B $_4$ H $_8$ } with RCH=CHR'.<sup>39,40,41</sup> The mechanisms were corroborated by theoretical computations on the proposed reaction pathways.<sup>42</sup> Minor basket products were also present in which a second ethene molecule appears to have been hydroborated by  $B_4H_{10}$  to form an alkyl group -RCHCH $_2$ R, since the basket compound formed initially does not give such products with ethenes.

The low yields of the volatile carbaborane products from reactions of  $B_4H_{10}$  and ethynes reported here, in contrast to high yields of the basket products from  $B_4H_{10}$  with ethenes, suggest that many pathways to different carbaborane products exist. From the results of Grimes's gas-phase kinetic study<sup>10</sup> on the thermolysis of  $B_4H_{10}$  with ethyne it seems likely that {B $_4$ H $_8$ } formed initially from  $B_4H_{10}$  then reacts with an ethyne molecule to yield an intermediate {C $_2$ B $_4$ H $_10$ }.

- Depending on the path taken, {C $_2$ B $_4$ H $_10$ } may
- lose a {BH $_3$ } intermediate to give C $_2$ B $_3$ H $_7$ ,
  - lose H $_2$  to form C $_2$ B $_4$ H $_8$  or
  - react with a second ethyne molecule to give an intermediate {C $_4$ B $_4$ H $_12$ }
- An alternative route to C $_2$ B $_3$ H $_7$  from the reaction of {B $_3$ H $_7$ } and ethyne was proposed by McKee in the first of his theoretical investigations into the complex reaction pathways of tetraborane(10) with unsaturated hydrocarbons.<sup>43</sup> However, on the basis of experimental work, {B $_3$ H $_7$ } is not considered to be present in the initial stages of the thermolysis of  $B_4H_{10}$ .<sup>38</sup> The triborane carbonyl B $_3$ H $_7$ CO, a precursor to {B $_3$ H $_7$ }, gives a high energy 'flash' reaction at -20°C with ethyne, resulting in several *closo*-carbaborane products from which C $_2$ B $_3$ H $_7$  may well be formed as an intermediate. McKee's second theoretical paper<sup>44</sup> supports the formation of {C $_2$ B $_4$ H $_10$ } from the reaction of {B $_4$ H $_8$ } and ethyne and also the removal of {BH $_3$ } from {C $_2$ B $_4$ H $_10$ } to give C $_2$ B $_3$ H $_7$ .



Scheme 3

The quenched reaction of  $B_4H_{10}$  with allene to give two distinct *arachno*-monocarbapentaboranes (bridged and fluxional) resembles the reaction of  $B_4H_{10}$  with ethene, in that reactions of  $\{B_4H_8\}$  and of  $B_4H_{10}$  with the unsaturated hydrocarbon both occur. The major difference between the two routes is the necessary cleavage of the double bond in the allene to yield the carbaboranes. A very recent theoretical investigation into the pathways for the reaction of  $B_4H_{10}$  with allene to form the carbaboranes supports these comments, but the complexity of the mechanism is reflected in the fact that 44 transition states were located!<sup>45</sup>

Both distinct mechanisms in the reactions of  $B_4H_{10}$  with ethyne and of  $B_4H_{10}$  with allene probably occur in the quenched reactions reported here of  $B_4H_{10}$  with alkyl ethynes. The initial intermediate  $\{RR'C_2B_4H_8\}$  formed from  $\{B_4H_8\}$  and  $RC \equiv CR'$  may follow four distinct pathways.

- lose a  $\{BH_3\}$  intermediate to give  $RR'C_2B_3H_5$ ,
- lose  $H_2$  to form  $RR'C_2B_4H_6$
- cleave the triple bond during rearrangement into the bridging *arachno* carbaborane 1-R-2,5-CHR'-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> or
- react with a second ethyne molecule to give an intermediate  $\{R_2R'_2C_4B_4H_8\}$

Two observations suggest that pathway (d) may well be dominant. Firstly, for all gas-phase reactions reported here at 70°C (for which the alkyne: $B_4H_{10}$  ratio was 2:1) the alkyl ethyne is used up before the  $B_4H_{10}$ . Secondly, on the basis of the low yields of the volatiles, it would seem that most of the boron consumed is incorporated into the non-volatile solids. The stable fluxional *arachno*-carbaborane B-CH<sub>2</sub>R'-1-R-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> is presumably formed by hydroboration of  $B_4H_{10}$  with  $RC \equiv CR'$  to give an intermediate  $\{RR'C_2B_4H_{10}\}$  which then rearranges with cleavage of the triple bond.

In contrast to the many volatile carbaborane products obtained from the tetraborane(10) - alkyl ethyne reactions described here, only a single volatile product, 1-Me<sub>3</sub>Si-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, was obtained from the quenched gas-phase reaction of  $B_4H_{10}$  and Me<sub>3</sub>SiC≡CH. Interestingly, the time needed for the Me<sub>3</sub>SiC≡CH to be used up in the reaction was about 35 minutes – somewhat longer than the reaction times for the quenched tetraborane(10) – alkyl ethyne reactions (22 – 30 minutes) under near identical reaction conditions. Ethyne took a little longer (*ca.* 40 minutes) to disappear. These observations suggest that Me<sub>3</sub>SiC≡CH behaves like an ethyne rather than an alkyl ethyne in reactions with tetraborane(10), as other volatile carbaboranes that might have been expected (such as 1-Me<sub>3</sub>Si-2,5-μ-CH<sub>2</sub>-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> and B-CH<sub>3</sub>-1-Me<sub>3</sub>Si-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> and the known carbaborane 2-Me<sub>3</sub>Si-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>) are not observed.

The observation of only one isomer of Me<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> from the reaction of Me<sub>3</sub>SiC≡CH with  $B_4H_{10}$  contrasts with the observation of a mixture of RC<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> isomers from the alkyl ethynes  $RC \equiv CH$  listed in Table 1. More intriguing is the fact that the 1-isomer is identified for Me<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, whereas the major components in all RC<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> compounds are identified as the 2-isomers. The pentaboranes Me<sub>3</sub>SiB<sub>5</sub>H<sub>8</sub> and MeB<sub>5</sub>H<sub>8</sub> (closely related to Me<sub>3</sub>SiC<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> and MeC<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> respectively) have been reported to undergo thermal rearrangements. The 2-isomer of Me<sub>3</sub>SiB<sub>5</sub>H<sub>8</sub> rearranges at 145°C to a 4:1 mixture of 1- and 2-isomers.<sup>46</sup> The 1-isomer of MeB<sub>5</sub>H<sub>8</sub> rearranges at 200°C to a 9:1 mixture of the 2- and 1-isomers.<sup>47</sup> It seems likely that the mechanism(s) involved in the thermal rearrangements of the substituted pentaboranes also apply to the substituted *nido*-dicarbapentaboranes. However, the rearrangements in the carbaboranes are probably more facile since the maximum temperature used here is 70°C. A theoretical investigation is desirable to examine this hypothesis.

## Conclusions

In the present study, quenched gas-phase reactions of tetraborane(10),  $B_4H_{10}$ , with alkyl ethynes have been carried out for the first time and shown to give the first C-alkyl derivatives of the *nido*-dicarbapentaborane  $1,2-C_2B_3H_7$  as the major volatile products. Both isomers of  $1-R-2-R'-1,2-C_2B_3H_5$  were obtained as mixtures from unsymmetrical ethynes  $RC\equiv CR'$ . In addition, *arachno*-monocarbapentaboranes were formed from these reactions, which were not present in the reported quenched reaction of  $B_4H_{10}$  with ethyne. Two types of *arachno*-monocarbapentaboranes of formula  $1-CB_4H_{10}$  were identified, one with a bridging -CHR- group and one without such a bridge and fluxional in solution. In both cases cleavage of the triple bond is necessary for their formation.

The quenched gas-phase reaction of  $B_4H_{10}$  with trimethylsilylethyne,  $Me_3SiC\equiv CH$ , afforded just one volatile product,  $1-Me_3Si-1,2-C_2B_3H_6$ . It is believed that this reaction has a similar pathway to that of the reaction of tetraborane(10) with ethyne. Tetraborane(10) is currently the only known borane precursor to 5-vertex *nido*-dicarbapentaboranes and fluxional 5-vertex *arachno*-monocarbapentaboranes.

## Experimental Section

All reactions were carried out in standard high-vacuum systems fitted with greaseless O-ring taps and spherical joints (J.Young [Scientific Glassware] Ltd.). A 1-litre Pyrex bulb used for all gas-phase reactions in this study was contained in an isothermal vessel and attached via a capillary to a Kratos MS30 mass spectrometer and a MSS data system (Mass Spectrometry Services Ltd.). The high-vacuum low-temperature fractionating column was as described in the literature<sup>48</sup> but with a capillary connected at the exit of the column to the mass spectrometer.  $B_4H_{10}$  was produced from  $NMe_4B_3H_8$  (Alfa Products) and  $BF_3$  (Cambrian Gases) using a literature procedure.<sup>49</sup> Ethyne (B.O.C.), propyne (Cambrian Gases), 2-butyne (Lancaster) and other alkynes were obtained commercially. The partially deuteriated propyne  $MeC\equiv CD$  was made from  $MeC\equiv CH$  with  $D_2O$  and  $NaOH$ .<sup>50</sup>

Samples for NMR spectroscopy were transferred in the gas phase to re-sealable 5mm Young's tubes. Low-field measurements were made at 2.35 Tesla (100 MHz  $^1H$ ) on a Jeol FX100 instrument with a broad-band  $^{11}B$  decoupling facility and high-field spectra were obtained at 9.4 Tesla (400 MHz  $^1H$ ; 128 MHz  $^{11}B$ ; 100 MHz  $^{13}C$ ) on a Bruker AM-400 instrument. Subtracted  $^1H\{^{11}B$  selective} and line-narrowed 2D  $^{11}B$ - $^{11}B$  COSY experiments were carried out as described in literature elsewhere,<sup>51</sup> at 233 K for derivatives of types **1**, **2**, **4**, **5**, **7**, **8**, **10**, **11** and **12** and at 298 K for derivatives of types **3**, **6**, **9** and **13**. The  $J_{BHt}$  and  $J_{BH\mu}$  coupling constants were obtained by resolution enhancements.

## General Procedure

The alkyne (1.0 mmol) was first measured manometrically and condensed into a phial and the procedure was repeated for tetraborane(10) (0.5 mmol). The mixture was warmed to room temperature and then bled into the reaction vessel at 70°C. After a few seconds the gas pressure was measured (7.5 mmHg, 0.35 mmol of the mixture) and the vessel was sealed. The reaction was followed by the mass spectrometric method with 10 scans per minute until the alkyne peak disappeared. The reacted mixture was then condensed into a U-trap. For  $MeC\equiv CH$ , the  $m/z$  40 peak vanished at about 30 minutes,  $MeC\equiv CMe$  ( $m/z$  54) at 25,  $EtC\equiv CMe$  ( $m/z$  68) at 25,  $EtC\equiv CH$  ( $m/z$  54) at 25,  $^nPrC\equiv CH$  ( $m/z$  68) at 22,  $^tBuC\equiv CH$  ( $m/z$  82) at 30 and  $Me_3SiC\equiv CH$  at 35. For comparison, a period of 40 minutes was needed for  $HC\equiv CH$  ( $m/z$  26) to disappear under identical conditions. After a series of reactions, the combined volatile fraction was then subjected to a cold-column fractionation. The various fractions, with different mass cut-offs, were then characterized by high field  $^{11}B$  and  $^1H$  NMR spectroscopy, at 233 K for derivatives of types **1**, **2**, **4**, **5**, **7**, **8**, **10**, **11** and **12** and at 298 K for derivatives of types **3**, **6**, **9** and **13**. The  $J_{BHt}$  and  $J_{BH\mu}$  coupling constants were obtained by resolution enhancements.

### Products from $B_4H_{10}$ and $MeC\equiv CH$ :

1-Me-1,2- $C_2B_3H_6$  **1a**;  $\delta(^{11}B)$  -11.3 (2B; dd, 2,  $J_{BHt} = 169$ ,  $J_{BH\mu} = 42$ ; B3, B5), -13.1 (1B; dt; B4);  $\delta(^1H\{^{11}B\})$  2.50 (1H; s; B4H), 2.26 (1H; s; C2H), 2.20 (2H; s; B3H, B5H), 1.70 (3H; s; C1CH<sub>3</sub>), -1.60 (2H; s; H <sub>$\mu$</sub> );  $\delta(^{13}C)$  65.1, C2; 10.0, CH<sub>3</sub>; -12.0, C2.  
2-Me-1,2- $C_2B_3H_6$  **2a**;  $\delta(^{11}B)$  -13.5 (2B; dd,  $J_{BHt} = 169$ ,  $J_{BH\mu} = 47$ ; B3, B5), -19.4 (1B; dt,  $J_{BHt} = 169$ ,  $J_{BH\mu} = 43$ ; B4);  $\delta(^1H\{^{11}B\})$  2.26 (1H; s; B4H), 2.18 (2H; s; B3H, B5H), 1.41 (3H; s; C2CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.08 (1H; sextet,  $J_{HCBH} \sim 3.7$ ; C1H), -1.99 (2H; s; H <sub>$\mu$</sub> );  $\delta(^{13}C)$  73.4, C2; 14.6, CH<sub>3</sub>; -15.4, C1.  
2-Me-2,3- $C_2B_4H_7$  **3a**;  $\delta(^{11}B)$  0.1 (1B, d,  $J_{BHt} \sim 160$ ; B5), -2.6 (1B, dd,  $J_{BHt} = 160$ ,  $J_{BH\mu} = 55$ ; B4), -3.1 (1B, dd,  $J_{BHt} = 156$ ,  $J_{BH\mu} = 53$ ; B6), -49.8 (d,  $J_{BHt} = 182$ ; B1); 6.08 (1H; s; C3H), 3.51 (1H; s; B5H), 3.33 (1H; s; B4H), 3.25 (1H; s; B6H), 2.19 (3H; s; CH<sub>3</sub>), -0.98 (1H; s; B1H), -2.12 (1H; s; H <sub>$\mu 5,6$</sub> ), -2.13 (1H; s; H <sub>$\mu 4,5$</sub> ).  
1-Me-2,5-CH<sub>2</sub>-1- $CB_4H_7$  **4a**;  $\delta(^{11}B)$  1.6 (2B, d,  $J_{BHt} \sim 145$ ; B2,5), -20.1 (2B, ddd,  $J_{BHt} = 165$ ,  $J_{BH\mu 2,3/4,5} = 63$ ,  $J_{BH\mu 3,4} = 37$ ; B3,4); 3.25 (2H; s; B2,5H), 2.23 (2H; s; B3,4H), 1.61 (3H; s; CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.68 (2H; s; H <sub>$\mu 2,3/4,5$</sub> ), -0.95 (1H; m,  $^2J_{HH} = 16$ ,  $J_{HH} = 4$ ; CH<sub>*exo*</sub>), -1.40 (1H; m,  $^2J_{HH} = 16$ ,  $J_{HH} = 6$ ; CH<sub>*endo*</sub>), -2.26 (1H; s; H <sub>$\mu 3,4$</sub> ); 2,5-*endo*-MeCH-1- $CB_4H_8$  **5a**; 1.6 (2B; B2,5), -21.8 (2B, ddd,  $J_{BHt} = 169$ ,  $J_{BH\mu 2,3/4,5} = 64$ ,  $J_{BH\mu 3,4} = 37$ ; B3,4); 0.68 (3H; d  $^3J_{HH} = 8$ ; CH<sub>3</sub>), -0.27 (2H; s; H <sub>$\mu 2,3/4,5$</sub> ), -0.80 (1H; m; CH<sub>*exo*</sub>); 1,3-Me<sub>2</sub>-1- $CB_4H_8$  **6a**,  $\delta(^{11}B)$  6.6 (1B; sextet,  $J_{BH\mu,endo} \sim 31$ ; B2), 1.6 (1B; d of sextets,  $J_{BHt} = 132$ ,  $J_{BH\mu,endo} \sim 33$ ; B4), -1.3 (2B; d of sextets,  $J_{BHt} = 142$ ,  $J_{BH\mu,endo} \sim 30$ ; B3,5); 2.90 (1H; s; B4H), 2.55 (2H; s; B3,5H), 1.35 (5H; s; H <sub>$\mu,endo$</sub> ), 1.29 (3H; s; C1CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.49 (3H; BCH<sub>3</sub>).

### Products from $B_4H_{10}$ and $EtC\equiv CH$ :

1-Et-1,2- $C_2B_3H_6$  **1b**,  $\delta(^{11}B)$  -12.1 (2B; dd, 2,  $J_{BHt} = 171$ ,  $J_{BH\mu} = 44$ ; B3, B5), -13.8 (1B; dt; B4);  $\delta(^1H\{^{11}B\})$  2.47 (1H; s; B4H), 2.29 (1H; s; C2H), 2.19 (2H; s; B3H, B5H), 2.04 (2H; q,  $J_{HH} = 7$ ; C1CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.95 (3H; t,  $J_{HH} = 7$ ; CH<sub>3</sub>), -1.67 (2H; s; H <sub>$\mu$</sub> ).  
2-Et-1,2- $C_2B_3H_6$  **2b**,  $\delta(^{11}B)$  -13.8 (2B; dd,  $J_{BHt} = 168$ ,  $J_{BH\mu} = 41$ ; B3, B5), -19.4 (1B; dt,  $J_{BHt} = 168$ ,  $J_{BH\mu} = 49$ ; B4);  $\delta(^1H\{^{11}B\})$



2.29 (1H; s; B4H), 2.16 (2H; s; B3H, B5H), 1.77 (3H; q,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; C2CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.86 (4H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$  and m; CH<sub>3</sub> and C1H), -2.03 (2H; s; H<sub>μ</sub>).

2-Et-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> **3b**  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -0.5 (1B, d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} \sim 160$ ; B5), -2.7 (1B, dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 157$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 46$ ; B4), -3.5 (1B, dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 155$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 49$ ; B6), -50.4 (d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 182$ ; B1);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  6.12 (1H; s; C3H), 3.51 (1H; s; B5H), 3.33 (1H; s; B4H), 3.27 (1H; s; B6H), 2.47 (2H; q,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; C2CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.16 (3H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; CH<sub>3</sub>), -0.99 (1H; s; B1H), -2.15 (1H; s; H<sub>μ5,6</sub>), -2.18 (1H; s; H<sub>μ4,5</sub>).

1-Et-2,5-CH<sub>2</sub>-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> **4b**  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  0.3 (2B, d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} \sim 149$ ; B2,5), -20.6 (2B, ddd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 165$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu2,3/4,5} = 64$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu3,4} = 37$ ; B3,4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  3.27 (2H; s; B2,5H), 2.24 (2H; s; B3,4H), 1.95 (2H; q,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.97 (3H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.54 (2H; s; H<sub>μ2,3/4,5</sub>), -0.95 (1H; m,  $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 16$ ,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 4$ ; CH<sub>exo</sub>), -1.41 (1H; m,  $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 16$ ,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 6$ ; CH<sub>endo</sub>), -2.27 (1H; s; H<sub>μ3,4</sub>).

2,5-endo-EtCH-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> **5b**  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  0.3 (2B; B2,5), -22.7 (2B, ddd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 169$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu2,3/4,5} = 64$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu3,4} = 37$ ; B3,4).

1-Et,B-Me-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> **6b**  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  6.7 (1B; sextet,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu,endo} \sim 30$ ; B2), -0.3 (1B; d of sextets,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 132$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu,endo} \sim 35$ ; B4), -2.8 (2B; d of sextets,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 140$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu,endo} \sim 32$ ; B3,5);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  2.81 (1H; s; B4H), 2.50 (2H; s; B3,5H), 1.52 (2H; q; C1CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.91 (3H; t; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.18 (5H; s; H<sub>μ,endo</sub>), 0.46 (3H; BCH<sub>3</sub>).

#### Products from B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> and <sup>n</sup>PrC≡CH:

2-<sup>n</sup>Pr-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> **1c**,  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -11.8 (2B; dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 170$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 44$ ; B3, B5), -13.5 (1B; dt; B4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  2.51 (1H; s; B4H), 2.31 (1H; s; C2H), 2.22 (2H; s; B3H, B5H), 2.02 (2H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; C1CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.38 (3H; m; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.95 (3H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; CH<sub>3</sub>), -1.61 (2H; s; H<sub>μ</sub>).

2-<sup>n</sup>Pr-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> **2c**,  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -13.5 (2B; dd; B3, B5), -19.0 (1B; dt,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 166$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 46$ ; B4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  2.22 (1H; s; B4H), 2.19 (2H; s; B3H, B5H), 1.71 (2H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; C1CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.34 (3H; m; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.92 (4H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$  and m; CH<sub>3</sub> and C1H), -1.97 (2H; s; H<sub>μ</sub>).

2-Pr-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> **3c**;  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  0.1 (1B, d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} \sim 161$ ; B5), -2.7 (1B, dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 154$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 45$ ; B4), -3.0 (1B, dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 157$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 49$ ; B6), -50.0 (d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 181$ ; B1);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  6.09 (1H; s; C3H), 3.53 (1H; s; B5H), 3.35 (1H; s; B4H), 3.27 (1H; s; B6H), 2.49 (2H; m; C2CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.34 (2H; m; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.97 (3H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; CH<sub>3</sub>), -0.97 (1H; s; B1H), -2.12 (1H; s; H<sub>μ5,6</sub>), -2.14 (1H; s; H<sub>μ4,5</sub>).

1-Pr-2,5-CH<sub>2</sub>-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> **4c**;  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  0.0 (2B, d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} \sim 145$ ; B2,5), -20.5 (2B, ddd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 165$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu2,3/4,5} = 61$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu3,4} = 36$ ; B3,4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  3.26 (2H; s; B2,5H), 2.20 (2H; s; B3,4H), 1.92 (2H; q,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; C1CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.32 (2H; q,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.91 (3H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.50 (2H; s; H<sub>μ2,3/4,5</sub>), -0.97 (1H; m,  $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 16$ ,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 4$ ; CH<sub>exo</sub>), -1.40 (1H; m,  $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 16$ ,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 6$ ; CH<sub>endo</sub>), -2.28 (1H; s; H<sub>μ3,4</sub>).

2,5-endo-PrCH-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> **5c**  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  0.0 (2B; B2,5), -23.5 (2B, ddd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 169$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu2,3/4,5} = 64$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu3,4} = 37$ ; B3,4).

1-Pr,B-Me-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> **6c**  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  7.0 (1B; sextet,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu,endo} \sim 32$ ; B2), 0.1 (1B; d of sextets,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 135$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu,endo} \sim 34$ ; B4), -3.0 (2B; d of sextets,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 140$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu,endo} \sim 33$ ; B3,5);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  2.83 (1H; s; B4H), 2.51 (2H; s; B3,5H), 1.61 (2H; q; C1CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.33 (2H; m; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.85 (3H; t; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.12 (5H; s; H<sub>μ,endo</sub>), 0.50 (3H; BCH<sub>3</sub>).

#### Products from B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> and <sup>t</sup>BuC≡CH:

1-<sup>t</sup>Bu-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> **1d**,  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -12.3 (2B; dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 170$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 44$ ; B3, B5), -14.2 (1B; dt; B4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  2.44 (1H; s; B4H), 2.40 (1H; s; C2H), 2.22 (2H; s; B3H, B5H), 1.03 (9H; s; CH<sub>3</sub>), -1.66 (2H; s; H<sub>μ</sub>).

2-<sup>t</sup>Bu-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> **2d**,  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -14.5 (2B; dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 170$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 46$ ; B3, B5), -20.0 (1B; dt,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 169$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 46$ ; B4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  2.24 (1H; s; B4H), 2.17 (2H; s; B3H, B5H), 0.93 (10H; s and m; CH<sub>3</sub> and C1H), -2.04 (2H; s; H<sub>μ</sub>).

2-<sup>t</sup>Bu-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> **3d**;  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -0.3 (1B, d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} \sim 161$ ; B5), -4.2 (1B, dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 154$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 45$ ; B4), -5.2 (1B, dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 157$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 49$ ; B6), -50.5 (d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 181$ ; B1);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  6.08 (s; C3H), 3.50 (s; B5H), 3.34 (s; B4H), 3.31 (s; B6H), 1.16 (9H; s; CH<sub>3</sub>), -1.00 (s; B1H), -2.12 (s; H<sub>μ5,6</sub>), -2.14 (s; H<sub>μ4,5</sub>).

1-<sup>t</sup>Bu-2,5-CH<sub>2</sub>-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> **4d**;  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -2.6 (2B; d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} \sim 146$ ; B2,5), -20.4 (2B, ddd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 166$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu2,3/4,5} = 61$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu3,4} = 37$ ; B3,4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  3.24 (2H; s; B2,5H), 2.40 (2H; s; B3,4H), 1.02 (9H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.31 (2H; s; H<sub>μ2,3/4,5</sub>), -1.10 (1H; m,  $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 16$ ,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 4$ ; CH<sub>exo</sub>), -1.42 (1H; m,  $^2J_{\text{HH}} = 16$ ,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 6$ ; CH<sub>endo</sub>), -2.25 (1H; s; H<sub>μ3,4</sub>).

1-<sup>t</sup>Bu,B-Me-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> **6d**  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  6.3 (1B; m; B2), 0.1 (1B; m; B4), -3.0 (2B; m; B3,5);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  0.84 (9H; s; CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.50 (3H; BCH<sub>3</sub>).

#### Products from B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> and MeC≡CMe:

1,2-Me<sub>2</sub>-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub> **7a**,  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -11.8 (2B; dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 169$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 47$ ; B3, B5), -17.2 (1B; dt,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 168$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 47$ ; B4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  2.42 (1H; s; B4H), 2.02 (2H; s; B3H, B5H), 1.60 (3H; s; C1CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.39 (3H; s; C2CH<sub>3</sub>), -1.59 (2H; s; H<sub>μ</sub>).

2,3-Me<sub>2</sub>-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub> **9a**,  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -1.8 (1B, d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} \sim 160$ ; B5), -3.8 (2B, dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 155$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 51$ ; B4,6), -46.9 (d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 181$ ; B1);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  3.44 (s; B5H), 3.19 (s; B4H, B6H), 2.05 (s; CH<sub>3</sub>), -0.95 (s; B1H), -2.14 (s; H<sub>μ</sub>).

1-Me-2,5-endo-MeCH-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> **10a**;  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  4.3 (2B; d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} \sim 146$ ; B2,5), -20.4 (2B, ddd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 169$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu2,3/4,5} = 61$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu3,4} = 37$ ; B3,4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  3.26 (2H; s; B2,5H), 2.24 (2H; s; B3,4H), 1.60 (3H; s; C1CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.63 (3H; d,  $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6$ ; endo CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.05 (2H; s; H<sub>μ2,3/4,5</sub>), -0.58 (1H; m; CH<sub>exo</sub>), -2.21 (1H; s; H<sub>μ3,4</sub>).

1-Me-2,5-exo-MeCH-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> **11a**  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  2.8 (2B; B2,5), -19.7 (2B, ddd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} \sim 173$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu2,3/4,5} \sim 64$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu3,4} = 37$ ; B3,4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  3.03 (2H; s; B2,5H), 2.17 (2H; s; B3,4H), 1.66 (3H; s; C1CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.95 (3H; d,  $^3J_{\text{HH}} = 6$ ; exo CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.78 (2H; s; H<sub>μ2,3/4,5</sub>), -1.10 (1H; m; CH<sub>endo</sub>), -2.13 (1H; s; H<sub>μ3,4</sub>).

1-Me,B-Et-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> **13a**  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  8.2 (1B; sextet,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu,endo} \sim 30$ ; B2), 1.0 (1B; d of sextets,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 132$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu,endo} \sim 35$ ; B4), -1.8 (2B; d of sextets,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 140$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu,endo} \sim 32$ ; B3,5);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  2.90 (1H; s; B4H), 2.58 (2H; s; B3,5H), 1.32 (5H; s; H<sub>μ,endo</sub>), 1.22 (3H; m; C1CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.11 (2H; q; BCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.00 (3H; t; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

#### Products from B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> and EtC≡CMe:

1-Et-2-Me-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub> **7a**,  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -12.5 (2B; dd; B3, B5), -17.9 (1B; dt; B4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  2.39 (1H; s; B4H), 2.06 (2H; s; B3H, B5H), 1.89 (2H; q,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; C1CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.36 (3H; s; C2CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.04 (3H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; CH<sub>3</sub>), -1.74 (2H; s; H<sub>μ</sub>).

2-Et-1-Me-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub> **8b**,  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -12.2 (2B; dd; B3, B5), -17.3 (1B; dt; B4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  2.42 (1H; s; B4H), 2.02 (2H; s; B3H,

- B5H), 1.80 (2H; q,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; C1CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.54 (3H; s; C1CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.95 (3H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; CH<sub>3</sub>), -1.67 (2H; s; H<sub>μ</sub>).
- 2-Et-3-Me-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub> **9b**,  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -1.8 (1B, d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} \sim 160$ ; B5), -3.9 (1B, dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 157$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 55$ ; B4), -4.4 (1B, dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 154$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 56$ ; B6), -47.4 (d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 178$ ; B1);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  3.52 (s; B5H), 3.28 (s; B4H), 3.25 (s; B6H), 2.47 (dq,  $J_{\text{HH}}=14$ ,  $J_{\text{HH}}=7$ ; CH<sub>a</sub>H<sub>b</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.33 (dq,  $J_{\text{HH}}=14$ ,  $J_{\text{HH}}=7$ ; CH<sub>a</sub>H<sub>b</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 2.09 (3H; s; CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.21 (t,  $J_{\text{HH}}=7$ ; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), -0.88 (s; B1H), -2.16 (s; H<sub>μ5,6</sub>), -2.17 (s; H<sub>μ4,5</sub>).
- 10 1-Et-2,5-endo-MeCH-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> **10b**;  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  3.0 (2B; d,  $J_{\text{BHt}} \sim 146$ ; B2,5), -21.1 (2B, ddd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 166$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu2,3/4,5} = 65$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu3,4} = 39$ ; B3,4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  3.24 (2H; s; B2,5H), 2.24 (2H; s; B3,4H), 1.90 (2H; q,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.94 (3H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.63 (3H; d,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; endo CH<sub>3</sub>), -0.09 (2H; s; H<sub>μ2,3/4,5</sub>), -0.61 (1H; m; CH<sub>exo</sub>), -2.27 (1H; s; H<sub>μ3,4</sub>).
- 1-Me-2,5-endo-EtCH-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> **12b**  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  3.5 (2B; B2,5), -20.3 (2B, ddd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 167$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu2,3/4,5} = 61$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu3,4} = 37$ ; B3,4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  3.25 (2H; s; B2,5H), 2.26 (2H; s; B3,4H), 1.59 (3H; s; C1CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.83 (2H; m; endo CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.97 (3H; t,  $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ ; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), -0.02 (2H; s; H<sub>μ2,3/4,5</sub>), -0.61 (1H; m; CH<sub>exo</sub>), -2.21 (1H; s; H<sub>μ3,4</sub>).
- 1,B-Et<sub>2</sub>-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> **13b**  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  8.8 (1B; sextet,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu,\text{endo}} \sim 30$ ; B2), 1.7 (1B; d of sextets,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 132$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu,\text{endo}} \sim 35$ ; B4), -1.5 (2B; d of sextets,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 140$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu,\text{endo}} \sim 32$ ; B3,5);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  2.90 (1H; s; B4H), 2.58 (2H; s; B3,5H), 1.22 (5H; s; H<sub>μ,endo</sub>), 1.50 (2H; q; C1CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.11 (2H; q; BCH<sub>2</sub>), 0.93 (3H; t; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.99 (3H; t; CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>).

#### Products from B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> and Me<sub>3</sub>SiC≡CH:

- 30 1-Me<sub>3</sub>Si-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> **1e**,  $\delta(^{11}\text{B})$  -11.7 (2B; dd,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 171$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 44$ ; B3, B5), -13.9 (1B; dt,  $J_{\text{BHt}} = 169$ ,  $J_{\text{BH}\mu} = 47$ ; B4);  $\delta(^1\text{H}\{^{11}\text{B}\})$  2.21 (1H; s; B4H), 2.11 (1H; s; C2H), 2.09 (2H; s; B3H, B5H), 0.11 (9H; s; CH<sub>3</sub>), -2.09 (2H; s; H<sub>μ</sub>).

#### 35 Products from B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> and EtC≡CEt:

The quenched gas-phase reactions of B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> with EtC≡CEt gave carbaborane products with cut-offs at  $m/z$  120, 132, 134 and 135 which were not investigated further, but based on this study these products are likely to be 1,2-Et<sub>2</sub>-1,2-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub> (120), 2,3-Et<sub>2</sub>-2,3-C<sub>2</sub>B<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub><sup>53</sup> (132), 1-Et-2,5-μ-EtCH-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub> (134) and 1-Et-B-EtCH<sub>2</sub>-1-CB<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> (136).

## Notes and references

<sup>a</sup> Chemistry Department, Durham University, South Rd, Durham, DH1

<sup>35</sup> 3LE, UK. E-mail: m.a.fox@durham.ac.uk

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Leeds, LEEDS LS2 9JT, U.K. E-mail: rgreatrex@leeds.ac.uk

† Dedicated to Prof Ken Wade, a superb chemist, on the occasion of his 75<sup>th</sup> birthday.

- For references and reviews of carboranes see R. N. Grimes, *Carboranes*, Academic Press, New York, 1970; T. Onak in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, eds. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel, Pergamon, New York, 1982, vol. 1, p. 411–457; T. Onak in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, eds. E. W. Abel, F. G. A. Stone and G. Wilkinson, Pergamon, New York, 1995, vol. 1, p. 217–255; B. Štíbr, *Chem. Rev.*, 1992, **92**, 225; M. A. Fox in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry III*, eds. R. H.

- Crabtree and D. M. P. Mingos, Editors, Elsevier, Oxford, 2007, vol 3, p.49–112.
- T. L. Heying, S. L. Clark, M. Hillman, H. L. Goldstein, R. J. Polak, D. J. Mangold, J. W. Szymanski and J. W. Ager, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1963, **2**, 1089.
- T. P. Onak, R. E. Williams and H. G. Weiss, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1962, **84**, 2830.
- I. Shapiro, C. D. Good and R. E. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1962, **84**, 3837.
- I. Shapiro, B. Keilin, R. E. Williams and C. D. Good, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1963, **85**, 3167.
- R. N. Grimes and C. L. Bramlett, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 2557.
- R. N. Grimes, C. L. Bramlett and R. L. Vance, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1968, **8**, 55.
- C. L. Bramlett and R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1966, **88**, 4269.
- R. N. Grimes, C. L. Bramlett and R. L. Vance, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1968, **7**, 1066.
- D. A. Franz and R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1971, **93**, 387.
- D. A. Franz and R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, **92**, 1438.
- D. A. Franz, J. W. Howard and R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 4010.
- D. A. Franz, V. R. Miller and R. N. Grimes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 412.
- W. A. Ledoux and R. N. Grimes, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1971, **28**, 37.
- V. R. Miller and R. N. Grimes, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1972, **11**, 862.
- M. Hofmann, M. A. Fox, R. Greatrex, P. v. R. Schleyer, J. W. Bausch and R. E. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 6170.
- M. A. Fox, R. Greatrex, N. N. Greenwood and M. Kirk, *Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun.*, 1999, **64**, 806.
- M. A. Fox and R. Greatrex, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1995**, 667.
- M. A. Fox, R. Greatrex, A. Nikrahi, P. T. Brain, M. J. Picton, D. W. H. Rankin, H. E. Robertson, M. Buhl, L. Li and R. A. Beaudet, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 2166.
- P. N. Condict, M. A. Fox, R. Greatrex, C. Jones and D. L. Ormsby, *Chem. Commun.*, **2002**, 1448.
- M. A. Fox, R. Greatrex, M. Hofmann and P. v. R. Schleyer, *Angew. Chem.*, 1994, **106**, 2384; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1994, **33**, 2298.
- M. A. Fox, R. Greatrex and A. Nikrahi, *Advances in Boron Chemistry*, Ed. W. Siebert, The Royal Society of Chemistry, 1997, p.315.
- M. A. Fox, R. Greatrex and A. Nikrahi, *Chem. Commun.*, **1996**, 175.
- P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Gauss, M. Bühl, R. Greatrex and M. A. Fox, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1993**, 1766.
- P. M. Tucker, T. Onak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1969, **91**, 6869.
- P. M. Tucker, T. Onak, J. B. Leach, *Inorg. Chem.* 1970, **9**, 1430.
- S. Heřmánek, *Chem. Rev.*, 1992, **92**, 325.
- T. Onak, R. P. Drake and G. B. Dunks, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1964, **3**, 1686.
- R. Greatrex, N. N. Greenwood and M. Kirk, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1991**, 1766; M. A. Fox, R. Greatrex, N. N. Greenwood and M. Kirk, *Polyhedron*, 1993, **12**, 1849.
- R. Köster, R. Boese and B. Wrackmeyer, *Angew. Chem.*, 1994, **106**, 2380; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1994, **33**, 2294.
- B. Gangnus, H. Stock, W. Siebert, M. Hofmann and P. v. R. Schleyer, *Angew. Chem.*, 1994, **106**, 2383; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1994, **33**, 2296.
- B. Wrackmeyer and H. J. Schanz, *Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun.*, 1997, **62**, 1254.
- R. Köster, R. Boese, B. Wrackmeyer and H. J. Schanz, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1995**, 1691.
- M. A. Fox, R. Greatrex, M. Hofmann, P. v. R. Schleyer and R. E. Williams, *Angew. Chem.*, 1997, **109**, 1542; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1997, **36**, 1498.
- H. A. Boyter Jr. and R. N. Grimes, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, **27**, 3075.
- P. T. Brain, M. Bühl, M. A. Fox, R. Greatrex, D. Hnyk, A. Nikrahi, D. W. H. Rankin and H. E. Robertson, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 1998, **445**, 319.
- R. Greatrex, N. N. Greenwood and C. D. Potter, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, **1984**, 2435.

- 
38. R. Greatrex, N. N. Greenwood and C. D. Potter, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, **1986**, 81.
39. B. C. Harrison, I. J. Solomon, R. D. Hites and M. J. Klein, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 1960, **14**, 195.
40. R. E. Williams and F. J. Gerhart, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1967, **10**, 168.
41. T. Onak, K. Gross, J. Tse and J. Howard, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1973**, 2633.
42. M. Bühl and M. L. McKee, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 4953.
43. M. L. McKee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 8001.
44. M. L. McKee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 421.
45. H. Sayin and M. L. McKee, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 2883.
46. D. F. Gaines and T. V. Iorns, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1971, **5**, 1094.
47. T. P. Onak and F. J. Gerhart, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1962, **1**, 742.
48. J. Dobson and R. Schaeffer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1970, **9**, 2183.
49. M. A. Toft, J. B. Leach, F. L. Himpsl and S. G. Shore, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1982, **21**, 195.
50. W. R. Vaughan and R. C. Taylor, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1959, **31**, 1425.
51. M. Bown, J. Plesek, K. Base, B. Stibr, X. L. R. Fontaine, N. N. Greenwood and J. D. Kennedy, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, **1989**, 27, 947.
52. M. A. Fox, R. Greatrex, M. Hofmann and P. v. R. Schleyer, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2000, **614-615**, 262.
53. R. B. Maynard, L. Borodinsky and R. N. Grimes, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1983, **22**, 211.